## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Jose	e Maximino Lozada-Gonzalez	Case Number: <u>12-01964M-001</u>
present and wa	as represented by counsel. I conclude by a p e defendant pending trial in this case.	), a detention hearing was held on September 25, 2012. Defendant was reponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a prep	conderance of the evidence that:	DINGS OF FACT
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the Unite	d States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the charged	offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to appear	in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law en	forcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.		
		LUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant	
2.	No condition or combination of conditions	will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
		REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicable, from efendant shall be afforded a reasonable oppo States or on request of an attorney for the Go	Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending ortunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court vernment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPEALS ANI	THIRD PARTY RELEASE
		ntion order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to etrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.		
DATE: Sept	tember 25, 2012_	Jul. Mil

JOHN A. BUTTRICK United States Magistrate Judge